ORIGINAL

City of Fruitland Park Executive Session - 1/21/2014

Page 1 CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK EXECUTIVE SESSION CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK, FLORIDA CONFIDENTIAL AND SEALED SESSION BEFORE THE CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK CITY COMMISSION DATE TAKEN: JANUARY 21, 2014 BEGINNING AT 6:35 P.M. TIME: CONCLUDING AT 7:25 P.M. PLACE: CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK COUNCIL CHAMBERS 506 WEST BERCKMAN STREET FRUITLAND PARK, FLORIDA REPORTED BY: BETH J. BREESE, FPR COURT REPORTER AND NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF FLORIDA AT LARGE

First Choice Reporting & Video Services Worldwide Scheduling

Page 2 1 BEFORE: 2 MAYOR CHRISTOPHER BELL 3 VICE MAYOR ALBERT O. GOLDBERG 4 COMMISSIONER SHARON A. KELLY COMMISSIONER JOHN L. GUNTER, JR. 5 COMMISSIONER CHRISTOPHER CHESHIRE CITY MANAGER GARY LAVENIA 6 7 SCOTT GERKEN, ESQUIRE, ATTORNEY FOR CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK GREGORY STEWART, ESQUIRE, ATTORNEY FOR CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 3 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 MAYOR BELL: All right. So I need to announce that we're going to enter into the session, announce 4 5 who's going to be there? Yes. The names of the individuals 6 MR. GERKEN: 7 and the estimated time. MAYOR BELL: All right. So we're looking at 8 9 Mayor Chris Bell, Vice Mayor Al Goldberg, 10 Commissioner Chris Cheshire, Commissioner John 11 Gunter, Commissioner Sharon Kelly, City Attorney 12 Scott Gerken, Attorney Greg Stewart, City Manager 13 Gary LaVenia, and the certified court reporter, Beth 14 Breese. 15 MR. GERKEN: That's correct. MAYOR BELL: And she's from First-Choice 16 17 Reporting. 18 And with that, we'll... MR. GERKEN: And the estimated length of the 19 20 session is approximately 30 minutes. 21 MAYOR BELL: The estimated length of the 22 session is approximately 30 minutes. 23 COMMISSIONER KELLY: It's going to be longer. 24 MAYOR BELL: Okay. So with that, we'll close 25 out.

	Page 4
1	MR. GERKEN: Yes. And we'll begin the
2	attorney-client session. So all those except those
3	enumerated people will need to leave the room. You,
4	certainly, will be welcome to come back in at the
5	conclusion in about a half-hour. It may take longer.
6	If it takes less than that, we'll wait the half-hour
7	so that no one no one misses.
8	(Everyone excluding the above-mentioned persons
9	exited the council chambers.)
10	MR. GERKEN: With that, we will go ahead.
11	The typical ground rules as you know, Greg
12	Stewart is here tonight to tell you where we are on
13	the litigation.
14	When last we met, the instructions were to go
15	forth and see if we could get this thing resolved and
16	what it would take to resolve the litigation. He has
17	come to you tonight. There's been quite a bit of
18	back and forth, and we actually have a signed
19	settlement agreement from the plaintiff to present to
20	the City tonight for your consideration.
21	Before we do that, I will remind you that the
22	discussions this evening have to be limited to this
23	specific litigation. We can't get off into other
24	subjects. So any strategies and settlement
25	discussions with regard to this suit are what we're

Page 5

1 talking about tonight.

There -- again, remind you that there is a 2 3 transcript being made of the proceedings. Even though what you're saying here tonight -- there's no 4 5 audience here -- every word is being taken down, and all of this will be made public in the future. 6 So 7 don't say anything that you wouldn't want everyone to 8 know about, as you always do as elected officials. 9 And, third, we won't -- as you also know, we 10 don't take any action during this shade meeting. You can give instructions to the attorney as far as how 11 you'd like the litigation to proceed, but any vote or 12 13 anything that would need to be taking place would have to take place back in the regular session. 14 15 So with that, I will -- unless you have any 16 questions, we can turn it over to Mr. Stewart, who 17 has come in here prepared to --18 COMMISSIONER KELLY: I -- I have --19 MR. GERKEN: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER KELLY: I have a question. 21 MR. GERKEN: Uh-huh. 22 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Apparently, the judge has 23 already been made an offer that we don't even know what the offer is. But she made an offer to the 24 judge to -- about the settlement, and he's okayed it. 25

Page 6 That's not correct. MR. STEWART: No. 1 2 Let me -- let me walk through the settlement, and I'll talk a little bit about the procedure. 3 Greg Stewart, from the law firm of Nabors, 4 Giblin & Nickerson of Tallahassee. 5 As Mr. Gerken indicated, at our last executive 6 session the commission directed me to see if we could 7 8 come up with some negotiated terms. What we have been able to negotiate is that the 9 City would create a common fund. And this is, in 10 essence, a pot of money that the City would hold, 11 that the City would administer. It would not be paid 12 out to anybody -- any lawyers to pay out. The City 13 would handle all of the money. That money would be 14 15 the full extent of the City's liability for any purposes -- refunds, attorneys' fees, whatever might 16 17 come up. 18 The total amount we had that the City has collected during the history of the fees is 19 approximately 562. So there -- it's a little bit 20 lower than what the total amount that's been 21 22 collected. 23 We have also incorporated as part of the 24 settlement that there is an application process. The 25 City would send a single-page application to all of

	Page 7
1	its utility customers and would publish an
2	advertisement, both of which were attached to the
3	settlement agreement. Those the City customer
4	would have 30 days to submit that completed
5	application. If they fail to do so, they would waive
6	any refund. Or 30 days from the publication of
7	the the ad.
8	The City would then get the applications. They
9	would then review them, make sure that it was a City
10	customer, that the City customer actually did pay the
11	fee, and verify the period of time that they paid the
12	fee. And that would then determine the extent of
13	refund that they potentially could have.
14	Now, from under a class action, the way
15	these work is that the class members all share in the
16	costs. So the every class member out of their
17	refund comes a pro rata share of the attorneys' fees
18	and costs and any other fees that the Court may
19	negotiate.
20	And we went round and round, working on
21	negotiating on the fees and trying to kind of figure
22	out if there's and, ultimately, we came to the
23	conclusion that we could never agree to that, what
24	they wanted.
25	And so we took the position that it is better

_

Page 8 to cut the City's losses, let the judge decide the 1 2 fees. 3 Ultimately, every member of the class will get a notice, a separate mailed notice -- not from the 4 5 City, but from the plaintiffs' counsel -- which will -- notice of the hearing and tell them 6 approximately what the plaintiff is looking for in 7 fees. And every class member has the ability to go 8 to the judge and object to the amount of the fees or 9 the settlement as a whole. 10 11 And we're tentatively looking at a potential 12 March date where that would occur. 13 Now -- so the way that would work is that if I paid \$150 of police and fire fees, and, ultimately, 14 50 perc -- the judge awards 50 percent of that fund, 15 the 530,000, for attorneys' fees and costs, 16 everyone's claim gets reduced by 50 percent. 17 And 18 this is a very common way that class actions are administered and settled. 19 20 Any remaining funds left after we pay the 21 refunds, we pay the attorneys' fees out of the fund, we pay the costs, will, in fact, go back to the City. 22 And we have -- they have -- it would be used towards 23 funding fire services. 24 25 Now, there's a couple things about the

Page 9 structure of this that make me think that you will 1 2 get a refund back of some of this money. 3 First of all, an application has to be filed, as I indicated. A lot of times people don't. They 4 5 get it, they throw it out, they don't -- they ignore it, whatever the case may be, and they don't file it. 6 Second, it's a fairly short time window, 30 7 8 days. So we believe that administering this -- it will be over quickly and that there will be some 9 refund. 10 11 Obviously, it may all be paid out. But I think 12 that the way it's structured, there is a probability 13 there will be some refund back to the City out of 14 that -- that amount. Now, the settlement has a couple advantages. 15 First of all, it ends all the discovery. So all the 16 depositions that are currently set for each of you 17 18 and all the work that staff is having to go through to assemble documentation ends as soon as the City 19 20 approves it. Secondly, it cuts off my attorneys' fees. 21 It's 22 easy to -- you know, we can go and we can fight 23 attorneys' fees and we could -- we could fight this to the end. But at the end of the day, you're going 24 25 to continue to incur bleeding.

> First Choice Reporting & Video Services Worldwide Scheduling

Page 10

1	And so my recommendation is that you settle
2	this case. And I believe that the settlement is a
3	fair and reasonable way of doing it.
4	Now, one other point that I wanted to talk a
5	little bit about. When we met last time, there had
6	been some previous discussion about \$100. We put a
7	cap on the refund of the citizens to \$100. And that
8	wasn't available. We we could have probably
9	negotiated that.
10	But my suggestion is that that is not the most
11	beneficial for the City. And the reason why is
12	that first of all, you can see in the press that
13	they're putting this \$100 cap as if this is the
14	City's idea. That wasn't the City's idea; that was
15	the plaintiffs' idea. So the way that's playing out
16	in the public is that the City is refusing to give us
17	all of the money back.
18	Secondly, the approach we've taken makes it
19	very clear the citizen gets 100 percent of what they
20	paid in the fees to the City, and if they have a
21	problem with what is deducted, it's between them and
22	the lawyer and not with the City.
23	Overall, though there may be some customers who
24	will get more than \$100 back, I believe that that is
25	the more beneficial approach to go. It is,

Page 11

certainly, the more traditional way of resolving a class action.

Now, I anticipate -- though I've used an example in the term sheet I put together for you as to what they might request -- 50 percent. I believe they may ask for more than 50 percent. But in my view it is -- that is really an issue between the class members, the attorney, and the judge.

9 And if the City was to decide what the fees --10 we wouldn't give them a dime. But he is going to get 11 fees, and we think that it's better for the City to 12 step back, stop your costs, stop your fees, and, 13 basically, let the judge do his job and provide an 14 equitable fee and -- and costs.

And with that, I'll answer any questions.
Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Kelly, you had a -- let me
talk to you about the procedure.

COMMISSIONER KELLY: Okay.

MR. STEWART: Right now, the judge has not been provided anything concerning the settlement. Unless he reads the paper, apparently.

The only thing that's been submitted to the judge is that after your meeting last week or the week before, where you suspended the collection, I prepared an order to the judge asking him to approve

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18

Page 12 that we don't have to put it on the next bill. 1 That 2 has not been executed yet. That is the only thing 3 that's been submitted to the judge. The procedure that will be followed is that if 4 5 the City approves this tonight, the judge will be asked to set a hearing March 4th or 5th to approve 6 7 the settlement, order that notices be sent out to all of the class members. And then the judge, at the 8 9 March hearing, will decide whether to approve the 10 settlement and approve fees and costs. 11 COMMISSIONER KELLY: I have another question. 12 MR. STEWART: Yes, ma'am. 13 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Why is Richardson's name still appearing, you know, on all the documents and 14 15 everything? MR. STEWART: Well, the reason why he is -- he 16 17 was taken out of the documents as a class 18 representative, which is how it was originally pled. 19 Because he was not named. But he was listed as -- in 20 the style. 21 And the way they handle courts' pleadings is 22 once you plead it, you have to kind of live with that 23 kind of -- that pleading. That's why it's there. It's a -- it's a technicality of the court 24 25 system.

Page 13 1 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Okay. But does that mean 2 that he will get money as a representative? 3 MR. STEWART: No. COMMISSIONER KELLY: He should --4 5 MR. STEWART: He -- no. What they are going to ask for is that he be given money for what they call 6 That is a very difficult 7 extraordinary services. standard to meet. It rarely, if ever, has been done. 8 9 But that would be for the judge to decide. 10 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: You said you estimate 11 that the -- their fees are going to be half of the 230,000 number -- or 265,000. 12 MR. STEWART: Well I -- I don't really -- I 13 really haven't estimated what I think it will be. Ι 14 15 used 50 percent because I'm math --COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's fine. 16 17 MR. STEWART: -- impaired. 18 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's fine. I'm just 19 looking -- okay. 20 Their fees is that amount. Okay. And then 50 percent comes out of the -- the rebate or whatever 21 22 the utility customer gets; correct? It would go towards that? 23 24 MR. STEWART: Yes, sir. 25 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: What -- what if we don't

Page 14 have but only \$100,000 worth of rebate? 1 Then what 2 percentage goes to --3 MR. STEWART: What -- the way that would work is that the maximum amount of \$530,000 is all the 4 5 City has to pay. The fees and costs will come off the top. If there's not enough to pay all the 6 7 refunds, then everyone's refund is reduced pro rata to get it to the 530,000. 8 9 MAYOR BELL: But this is after the -- the 10 attorneys' fees and all that? 11 MR. STEWART: Yes, sir. Yes, sir. That comes 12 off the top. MAYOR BELL: I mean, that was my concern. 13 And I thought about it a little bit today. 'Cause I 14 15 haven't opted out yet. Because I was worried that if 16 I opted out, that leaves more money on the table that 17 could be used to pay the fees. Or to calculate fees 18 based on it. MR. STEWART: Well, it -- that would not have 19 20 an -- that would not have an effect, if you opted out. It would -- in fact, it's -- it's one less 21 claim that can be made that they can take a piece of 22 23 the attorneys' fees. In essence, if you opt out, it's like I don't 24 25 want anything to do with your lawsuit. I paid the

Page 15 money and -- and, you know, conceivably, you could 1 2 sue individually if you wanted to. I'm not saying -obviously, you wouldn't. 3 But as long as you're in the class, your refund 4 5 is going to be split with attorneys' fees. If you opt out, none of it will be taken for 6 attorneys' fees. You're out of it completely. 7 And -- and, obviously, that's an individual 8 9 decision in terms of whether you opt out. But it was 10 adopted by the City, and that -- it may, from 11 appearance point of view, appear a little inconsistent if you -- if you don't opt out. 12 MAYOR BELL: All right. Well, that's -- that 13 was the only reason I had not opted out, is I did not 14 15 want to possibly leave more money on the table. MR. STEWART: I think the deadline for opting 16 17 out is February 28th. 18 MAYOR BELL: Yes. 19 MR. STEWART: If I recall correctly. 20 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I put mine in over the weekend. I didn't want Chris to be the only one. 21 22 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Yeah. I've got to get 23 mine in too. 24 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Mine -- mine's put in 25 there.

Page 16 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Can I ask a question? 1 2 MR. STEWART: Yes, sir. 3 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Has there been any discussion of fees for the class, the main class? 4 5 The Howards? MR. STEWART: Yes. Basically --6 7 Just out of curiosity. VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: MR. STEWART: -- what -- what they, I believe, 8 9 are going to ask for -- well, let me -- they have --10 we've already worked on the notice. If the City 11 approves that, it will go to the class members. It's 12 not part of this settlement, but it was a separate 13 notice that we wanted to make sure we had an understanding as to what was going to the class. 14 15 I believe they're going to ask for \$255,000 for attorneys' fees and costs, 24,000 for the Howards, 16 17 10,000 for Mr. Richardson. And then there's an estimate of about \$1,000 of City costs that we get 18 reimbursed out of that too. 19 20 Again, generally speaking, in the class 21 actions -- and I've done many class actions -- the 22 class representative amounts are relatively nominal. 23 A couple thousand, few thousand. 24 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: But that is kind of above 25 and beyond the normal?

Page 17 MR. STEWART: For a lawsuit that hasn't even 1 2 been active for a year -- 'cause this was filed in February of 2013 -- I think it's a little high. 3 But -- but again, I -- you know, my judgment 4 5 may be misplaced. But it seems to me the best person to make that decision is the judge. 6 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: If he puts in extra --7 as much money -- because then they have to send a 8 notice to all the people in Fruitland Park in the 9 class saying that the Howards want \$24,000. 10 11 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Of your money. MR. STEWART: And the notice that we have 12 approved -- or we've worked on with them -- has them 13 14 specifically spelling out these amounts. That they 15 will be seeking these amounts. So my thought was that that might get the citizens' attention. 16 17 And so -- but -- but again, ultimately, it is their money that they're getting refunded. And 18 19 they're dealing with the attorney. And so it really, ultimately, is between them, the plaintiffs' counsel, 20 21 and the judge. 22 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Do we have to mail out 23 applications? We're going to mail an application to 24 every citizen? Or do they have to come here and get the application? 25

Page 18 1 MR. STEWART: What I would suggest we do is 2 we -- we -- we made the application a single form so 3 it would be small. And we believe that what the 4 appropriate way to deal with it, if possible, was to 5 have it included in the utility bill. 'Cause that's 6 for current customers. The ad would be published, which is just one 7 8 time. It's a relatively small ad. I would suggest that it's -- makes sense to 9 10 send them out, have them at the front counter, just sitting there, and people can grab them and -- make 11 12 it easy for them. 13 If we're paying that money back and -- you 14 know, we -- we've said from day one this is a voluntary fee. We should make it easy for them to 15 16 get it back. 17 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: You said that the City's 18 going to administrate paying the utility customers; correct? Or is that --19 20 MR. STEWART: Yes. Yes. The way that will work is we will have the 21 22 fund. We'll have to have it some -- segregated in 23 our accounts. That after we verify the 24 applications -- when we do the verification and we 25 have the attorneys' fees, we'll do the calculations

Page 19 and we'll determine who gets what amount, and we'll 1 2 send the checks. 3 Now, there has been some discussion concerning can we do it as a credit off future bills. I -- I 4 5 would suggest we don't do that. It seems that it's better from a citizen's perspective to get that check 6 7 in hand. 8 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay. Who -- who verifies the amount that they're going to get now? 9 MR. STEWART: The City. City staff. 10 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Should we have an outside 11 person do that? I mean, I don't want the citizens 12 coming up and say, hey, here they are trying to, you 13 know, mess us over again by not -- the staff is doing 14 15 it, and we don't know what they're doing to us. MR. STEWART: Well, I think that -- that really 16 17 is going to be -- I mean, the City has the ability to do whatever it needs to do to administer it. And 18 they don't need to go to the Court to do that. 19 20 So if we want to have someone else do it -- we have talked with Amanda about it, and she can pull 21 the information. But it -- it is a relatively simple 22 23 process. Because we just find out when they hooked 24 up and --25 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Well, everything is

Page 20 Putting the fees in was simple. 1 simple. 2 MR. STEWART: I understand. MAYOR BELL: That form that she's -- she's just 3 going to go through and see the forms so that -- I 4 5 mean, that's -- there's a basic formula to do it. COMMISSIONER GUNTER: I understand that. 6 But 7 still, somebody's going to say, hey, with the City 8 handling it theirselves [sic] ... 9 MR. STEWART: Yeah. It -- it is a -- I mean, this is not something that's going to start tomorrow, 10 11 even if you approve it. I mean, it's got to be 12 approved by the Court. They have to get the 13 applications in. So we're looking, probably, May to 14 do this. 15 So the City has the ability to do that if it deems appropriate. 16 17 COMMISSIONER KELLY: There's going to be a lot 18 more work on our staff, though, correct? MR. STEWART: Certainly. Certainly. Yeah. 19 I mean, there's -- it just -- I mean, it's going to be 20 an intense amount of time in addition to her other 21 22 duties, so... 23 But -- but it is an easier one because we only go back to 2009. It's very set in terms of the 24 amount of the fee during what period of time. So, 25

Page 21 1 you know, it -- it probably can be done fairly 2 easily. But -- but that's -- if we want to bring 3 someone else in, it can be done. 4 5 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Do these checks have to be special? Worded special or anything? That says, 6 7 like, you know, by you endorsing this, you -- you have been settled in full? 8 MR. STEWART: No. Because the settlement 9 10 agreement has that kind of language in it. It has 11 that this is in full liability of -- this and the class members and in fulfillment of the City's 12 responsibility. So it has some release language in 13 14 there. 15 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Next thing -- this isn't for him, but do we have the \$530,000 somewhere? 16 17 MR. LAVENIA: We're going to have to approve --18 that has to come out of reserves. Yeah. We've 19 already got a call in to --20 MR. STEWART: Paragraph seven is the paragraph 21 that deals with that. MR. LAVENIA: You know, it's reserves. Yeah. 22 23 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: We've got well over a 24 million in reserve. 25 MR. LAVENIA: Yeah. We're going to have to

Page 22 1 take it out of reserves. COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: And may I -- may I ask 2 3 you what your lawyers' fees are so far? 4 MR. STEWART: I do not have an up-to-date total. I can get that for you. 5 6 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: How about just a 7 ballpark? 8 MR. STEWART: I would say probably 20 to 25,000. 9 10 MR. GERKEN: I think that's about right. I think it was -- I was going to say 22,000, something 11 12 like that, to date. They'll be much higher if this doesn't resolve. 13 14 MR. STEWART: Right. COMMISSIONER KELLY: So we're paying 520 -- is 15 16 that right? MR. STEWART: Five hundred and thirty. 17 18 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Five hundred and thirty. MR. STEWART: Plus the -- plus the attorneys' 19 20 fees for us. COMMISSIONER KELLY: And then 22. So that 21 22 brings it up to --23 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Five fifty. 24 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Five hundred and fifty 25 thousand.

Page 23 MR. GERKEN: Again, the hope would be -- and I 1 2 think it's more than probable -- that you're not 3 going to have to actually pay out that entire 4 \$530,000, assuming not everybody --COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's the max. 5 6 MR. GERKEN: Yeah. That's your max. There's 7 going to be a material amount that's going to come back to the City. Assuming there are people that 8 9 aren't going to request their refund --10 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Now --11 MR. GERKEN: -- to support the City or just old 12 customers that, you know, won't --13 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: The -- the thing about us 14 taking it out of reserves --15 MR. GERKEN: Uh-huh. 16 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: -- we can't put none of 17 it back in the reserves. It has to go to the fire 18 department. 19 To the fire. Now, you can then --MR. GERKEN: 20 you can always budget monies to put in the reserve. 21 So other -- yes. These specific funds would have to 22 be used for the fire department. But the funds you 23 otherwise would have budgeted for the fire 24 department --25 MAYOR BELL: Okay.

Page 24 MR. GERKEN: -- can be put in the reserves. 1 2 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Why -- why did they pick the fire department instead of the police department? 3 MR. STEWART: It's the issue with Chief Isaacs, 4 5 that --VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: It's more or less a slap 6 in his face. 7 8 MR. STEWART: Yes. 9 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: It really hits him directly, imagewise. It says that we're not going to 10 let you take any money and do anything with the 11 police department. And this is probably why. 12 You know where that came from. 13 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yeah. I know. 14 15 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: From -- from --COMMISSIONER KELLY: And it's a little bit 16 17 ridiculous. 18 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: -- our buddy over on the 19 other side of the road. 20 MR. STEWART: I -- I don't disagree. COMMISSIONER KELLY: It's a volunteer fire 21 22 department. Now -- well... 23 MR. STEWART: And depending on what the extent 24 of the -- that the City has at the -- doing -- I mean, you -- it is a budgetary matter where you shift 25

Page 25 1 things around and things but ... 2 MAYOR BELL: Well, we don't have -- I don't know what the amount's going to be. What -- what was 3 in the budget for the -- budget for the fire 4 5 department is? MR. LAVENIA: One hundred and six thousand. 6 But that's just a guess. 7 8 MAYOR BELL: Does it -- does it have to go to them in this budget year? 9 MR. STEWART: No. Anytime. It can go -- you 10 can -- I mean, there is no limitation other than it 11 12 has to be spent for fire department. So if you want to put it in reserves for next year's budget and --13 14 that's your prerogative. 15 MAYOR BELL: So really it doesn't ... MR. STEWART: Yeah. I mean, it's --16 17 MAYOR BELL: Just say it goes back into the 18 general fund. 19 MR. STEWART: It's -- it's -- as the Vice Mayor 20 indicated, it was intended to be a slap at Chief 21 Isaacs. But, ultimately, how it impacts the City 22 probably is irrelevant. 23 COMMISSIONER KELLY: The problem is that the 24 fire department's going to see it as a windfall and 25 want to spend it all right away.

Page 26 MR. STEWART: I understand. 1 2 Any other questions that I can answer? VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: What's your prediction on 3 Mr. Richardson gaining any monetary award? 4 5 MR. STEWART: Well --VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: From -- from your gut 6 feeling. 7 8 MR. STEWART: My gut is that he will not get fees. 9 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Remember, this -- this --10 it's not every Court in the world here. 11 MR. STEWART: I understand that. And -- and --12 and there's a couple things that I think I'm -- I'm 13 hoping kind of come into play with this. 14 15 Right now, the City is under the gun. Every time we go into the court hearing, we just get 16 The judge makes it very clear how he views 17 pounded. 18 the fees. If we settle this and we're kind of on the 19 sidelines, then it seems to me that the judge's focus 20 may be more on the plaintiff, the plaintiffs' lawyer 21 22 fees, Mr. Richardson. This is the same judge that 23 said Mr. Richardson should not be -- you know, he's got a monetary claim. I think these are unusual, to 24 try to have a class member show extraordinary 25

Page 27 1 services. And, again, we're talking about a lawsuit that 2 has not been pending a year. Which is -- I mean, 3 some of these class actions go ten years. 4 So my gut feeling is -- is that he will not get 5 6 fees. But, you know, I don't know for sure. COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: What about the 7 attorney? I mean, is it customary for the judge to 8 give him what he asked for? Or does he sit there and 9 10 look and say this is high and let's knock this down. This isn't -- you know? 11 12 MR. STEWART: Well, the theory -- well, under class actions, you, generally, are not entitled for 13 14 any more fees than you've actually incurred. And he has not incurred \$250,000 in fees. And he gave me a 15 number at some point early in our discussions that it 16 was 100 and something -- low hundreds. 17 18 But there is a provision in class actions that if it's a novel concept, if it's -- it's really an 19 20 unusual case and you took it on, with a high risk of losing, there is a multiplier that the Court can give 21 22 when those types of circumstances exist. 23 I don't, personally, think those circumstances 24 do exist in this case. But that's what the 25 plaintiffs' attorney is counting on to get fees.

Page 28

1	His actual hourly fees are substantially lower.
2	And I haven't seen his time bill, so I don't know how
3	much of that was related to the federal lawsuit,
4	which shouldn't be compensated in this.
5	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: But we're saying too,
6	if I hear we're not going to oppose or or any
7	of that. So we're just going to sit there and let
8	the judge you're not going to go in and argue and
9	say
10	MR. STEWART: Yes.
11	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: you can give us the
12	multipliers and
13	MR. STEWART: Yeah. That that is that is
14	part of the arrangement, that we are not going we
15	would agree not to do, either pro or for or
16	against anything.
17	And and, again, that does go back to the
18	fact that to do that incurs more attorneys' fees,
19	because I have to bring experts in to argue that.
20	And it really ultimately I'm I'm thinking that
21	if the class members go there and articulate their
22	views of this, that the judge will listen.
23	And and but ultimately, I think that the
24	class members need to carry their own word on that.
25	COMMISSIONER KELLY: But you're saying that

Page 29 this is a hard case for them to win and that's why 1 2 the fee's going to go higher? I mean --3 MR. STEWART: No. No. What I'm -- what I --4 well, that's what -- that's one of the factors that 5 can be considered in whether the Court uses a 6 multiplier. To say this was a cutting-edge case, new 7 law. 8 There really wasn't -- I don't know if that's 9 going -- I mean, I'm -- and, again, it's very 10 difficult to anticipate what a judge will do. But 11 it's hard for me to think that they can make that 12 argument, when all through this case they've been 13 talking about how clearly these fees are 14 unconstitutional. So if it was so clearly unconstitutional, how is it that big of a battle? 15 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Well, yeah. And if --16 17 they're the ones that are saying that. 18 MR. STEWART: Yes. 19 COMMISSIONER KELLY: And I voted last time 20 against this because I don't think they are illegal. 21 And I think that they could be won in court. But 22 nobody wants to take the risk because of the -- how 23 much it's going to cost us. MR. STEWART: Well, my -- as I told you last 24 time -- and I -- and my philosophy is -- is that you 25

Page 30 1 don't need things should or could. You need the 2 straight scoop. 3 My opinion -- and I've done a lot of fee cases 4 all over the state -- is that both those fees are 5 unconstitutional. 6 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Would you -- would you get the court date -- is it -- is it going to be, 7 8 like, one day they're going to do this all? 9 MR. STEWART: Yes. It -- it's anticipated that it would be done -- and I think the date is 10 11 March 5th. And I think -- they had already filed a 12 motion for summary judgment. And so we -- they want 13 to use that date, which is March 5th, and they have 14 all afternoon set aside. So it would be done at one time. 15 16 And the first aspect would be approve the 17 settlement agreement. Which I'm hopeful the judge 18 would do. 19 And then the second would be going into the 20 fees. 21 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: And anybody can attend 22 that? 23 MR. STEWART: Yes. 24 Now, there -- there -- there is a provision in 25 there that the City and the commission will not take

Page 31 a position, pro or con, on fees. So as much as you 1 2 might have to bite your tongue, we would ask that if 3 you approve the settlement that you agree not to take a position publicly. 4 5 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: But we could be there? MR. STEWART: You could be there but not take a 6 position publicly, for or against. 7 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Oh, I -- I would never 8 9 say anything in a court of law anymore. 10 MR. STEWART: Okay. Well, that --11 MAYOR BELL: Now, coming back to that provision, what does that allow us to say from up 12 here, from out there, any -- as far as regarding 13 this -- this settlement? 14 MR. STEWART: I would -- I think you're free to 15 discuss the case, but I would -- I would refrain from 16 17 discussing anything concerning the amount --18 attorneys' fees, representative fees, Mr. Richardson's fees, or costs -- anything. 19 'Cause 20 that's the area. So in terms of that the -- the residents are 21 22 going to get notices that will tell them, 23 applications to file in order to process a refund --I think that's appropriate. That the residents will 24 25 get a notice of the hearing where the settlement will

Page 32 be discussed with the Court. I think that's 1 2 appropriate. 3 I don't think it's appropriate to say the lawyer's going to be asking for some incredible 4 5 amount of money, and you ought to go down there and 6 protest. 7 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Yeah. But didn't you say 8 the utility customers are going to get a notice 9 stating how much? MR. STEWART: Yes. But that's going to come 10 11 from the plaintiffs' lawyer, not from the City. 12 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Right. Correct. 13 MR. STEWART: Right. They will get that in the mail. 14 15 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Okay. So in other 16 words --17 MR. STEWART: That's going to happen. They're 18 going to get the notice anyway. They're going to know how 19 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: 20 much this actually cost them. 21 MAYOR BELL: Well, once -- once that -- if they 22 come up and ask us that question about the fees, we 23 should still try to remain as neutral as possible? 24 MR. STEWART: I -- I would just say that we 25 agreed not to get in the middle of that.

Page 33 Just tell them they'll be 1 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: 2 getting a notice to show all the fees. 3 MR. STEWART: Right. COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yeah. But you know these 4 5 other attorneys are going to make up that notice, and they're going to hide it as much as they can. 6 Ι 7 mean --8 MR. STEWART: Well --COMMISSIONER GUNTER: That's hard to --9 10 COMMISSIONER KELLY: -- the amount of what -you know, what everybody's -- everybody's getting a 11 portion of this amount of money or something. And 12 that --13 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Do -- do they have to 14 15 separate --16 COMMISSIONER KELLY: -- is specified. 17 MR. STEWART: No. And -- and that notice has 18 been drafted. They drafted it, I changed it, and 19 it's been approved by us. 20 And it very specifically says the amount of attorneys' fees and costs, class representative fees, 21 22 and fees to class members who provided extraordinary 23 services shall be determined by the Court. The 24 amounts sought for such fees and costs are as 25 attorneys' fees and costs, \$255,000; class follows:

	Page 34
1	representative fees, \$24,000; fees to class members
2	who provided extraordinary services, \$10,000; and
3	City costs to administer the fund and pay class
4	members pursuant to the settlement agreement
5	estimated at \$1,000.
6	MAYOR BELL: What about names?
7	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Yeah. I was going to
8	say, where are the names?
9	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yeah. There are no names
10	in there. That they could sit there and point to
11	the attorneys.
12	MR. STEWART: No. Class there's only two
13	class representatives, and that's the Howards.
14	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Yeah. But nobody knows
15	that.
16	MR. GERKEN: Well, they're named on the top.
17	MR. STEWART: Yeah. They're named on the top.
18	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Okay.
19	MR. GERKEN: It says class representatives are
20	the Howards. And it also has Mr. Richardson's name.
21	COMMISSIONER KELLY: As what?
22	MR. STEWART: So the Howards
23	MR. GERKEN: As an individual plaintiff.
24	MAYOR BELL: They're getting 24 apiece?
25	MR. STEWART: Twelve each.

Γ

	Page 35
1	MAYOR BELL: Oh, okay.
2	MR. STEWART: But but, as I said, this
3	this notice is what we want the judge to approve.
4	And I rewrote this notice to make it absolutely
5	crystal clear that anyone who reads it knows that
6	there's going they're going to be asking for a lot
7	of that money. And it also says your fee your
8	refund's going to be reduced by those, so
9	MAYOR BELL: So we can't if Mr. Howard wants
10	to come back up to the podium, we can't quote his
11	words as being criminal?
12	MR. STEWART: And and what do you mean?
13	I I'm I'm
14	COMMISSIONER GUNTER: A comment he made at a
15	meeting.
16	VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: You had to be there. He
17	gave a
18	MAYOR BELL: He went on a rampage. That's why
19	I was wondering if if maybe using what he said at
20	that last meeting might disqualify him, actually,
21	as as a rep.
22	MR. STEWART: Well, and not having been there,
23	I can't can't tell you, Mayor.
24	VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Channel 9 News archives.
25	MR. STEWART: Okay.

Page 36 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Right there. 1 2 MR. STEWART: Well, I would suggest that the 3 intent of the settlement is to get the City out of this and to move forward. And that is really what my 4 best advice to you is, is that these things just 5 6 grind you down. And you had a year where it's been grinding you down. 7 8 It's time to put this behind you and move forward. And you can't until you settle it. 9 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: And I think they'd settle 10 11 it anyways. MAYOR BELL: Yeah. 'Cause after the 12 settling -- we still got this group that wants to be 13 involved. After the settlement is made, fees are 14 determined, is there any gag order at that point? 15 MR. STEWART: No. And -- and -- and Ms. Kelly 16 and I, we've talked about this concerning the federal 17 18 settlement. And Mr. Gerken and I have talked at 19 length. It is very, very difficult for a public body to 20 21 have a settlement that has a gag order. They're 22 almost always struck down. Because public policy, 23 you know, is that the public should always be able to approach a public body and -- and say what they want. 24 25 And, obviously, you now have the -- the new statute

Page 37 1 that requires public comment. I -- I appreciate it when you take a beating at 2 3 a meeting in terms of the -- them getting up there 4 and misstatements and lies and whatever the case may It's not the road to go down. 5 be. 6 COMMISSIONER KELLY: So we're supposed to just sit up here and take it. Okay. Call us whatever you 7 8 like. It's okay. MR. GERKEN: One question, just for the benefit 9 10 of the commission. Can they encourage people to go to the hearing and be heard about the fees? 11 Is 12 that ... MR. STEWART: I -- I -- I don't think there 13 14 is -- there is no limitation in the agreement that -and I would encourage you not to do things from the 15 dais. I think if you're going to -- have someone in 16 conversation, I would stay away from the fees. 17 But I would say, all of this is going to get discussed by 18 the judge and decided. And if you want to see it or 19 20 hear about it, then you ought to go. And you'll get 21 a notice of it. 22 MR. GERKEN: And the second question -- can 23 they, for instance, share with people that they've 24 opted out and encourage people not to request an --25 not to request a refund? That whatever is left

> First Choice Reporting & Video Services Worldwide Scheduling

Page 38

	Tuge 50
1	unclaimed would come back to the City?
2	MR. STEWART: I think that there's you know,
3	certainly, it's appropriate if tell individuals
4	you've opted out. And if anybody asks well, I
5	don't know what to do with this thing, say, well, I
6	opted out.
7	You know, I I think I know how things get
8	twisted here. So I'm kind of trying to dance a line
9	here a little bit in terms of you know, that
10	you're not overtly trying to undermine the class.
11	But I mean so to the extent of telling what you've
12	done, that's fair game. And I think there's nothing
13	wrong with that.
14	COMMISSIONER KELLY: I don't think you
15	understand as an individual why we did what we did.
16	It was either raise the taxes a mil or whatever
17	which is a large sum of money; okay? Or put fees on.
18	And we did the fees because we could do a
19	smaller amount that would impact people a lot less
20	than having to raise their taxes. Which we could
21	have done. But we didn't need that excess amount of
22	money, and we wanted to keep it low.
23	That's not being told to the judge. He doesn't
24	realize that that's what we were after, not to you
25	know, we can legally raise taxes.

Page 39 MR. STEWART: Well, I -- I think whatever --1 2 whatever was in your -- your good heart in terms of 3 trying to deal with it, it, certainly, has been lost 4 in -- in this case. 5 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Yeah. 6 MR. STEWART: I agree. 7 MR. GERKEN: Actually, Ms. Kelly, that was -- I 8 could tell you -- told to the judge. And that was 9 not Greg's fault in any way. It was told to the 10 judge. 11 In fact, Hank Fishkind, the economist, filed an 12 affidavit, which the judge admitted in evidence, 13 which spelled out exactly the -- the reason that --14these fees keep property taxes low. And if you don't have these fees, property taxes are going to go up. 15 And the Court dismissed all that and said I don't 16 care. It's, you know --17 18 MAYOR BELL: Not the issue. MR. GERKEN: That's not the issue. 19 Correct. 20 This was more of a procedural, constitutional -- and 21 he found the fees not to be voluntary and not to be -- and not to be proper. 22 23 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Well, I wonder how well it 24 would look on his resume when he bankrupts a city because of the fees he allowed to --25

Page 40 1 MR. GERKEN: Well, it's not going to bankrupt 2 the City. If we thought this was going to bankrupt 3 your city, we, certainly, wouldn't be bringing an agreement to you. 4 5 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: And, Sharon, it wasn't 6 the judge. It was the initial instigator of the 7 class who is causing this whole effect. You can't --8 the judge --9 COMMISSIONER KELLY: We all agree --10 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: -- is just doing his job. 11 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Never mind. 12 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: That's all he's doing. 13 He's just up there, he's hearing the case, he's making an opinion on a case, judging a case, and 14 15 that's all he's there for. 16 But the original thing comes from one individual. 17 18 MAYOR BELL: All right. 19 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Who had a mental problem. 20 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: I -- I don't know. 21 COMMISSIONER KELLY: Okay. I can't say that, 22 but... 23 Any other questions --MR. STEWART: 24 COMMISSIONER KELLY: I take that back. 25 MR. STEWART: -- Mr. Mayor, members of the

Page 41 commission? 1 2 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: You will keep us apprised 3 of the date for this; right? MR. STEWART: Yes. At --4 5 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Thank you. 6 MR. STEWART: I know it is March 5th. I'11 send that, make sure everybody --7 VICE MAYOR GOLDBERG: Concrete? 8 MR. STEWART: -- has that. 9 10 MAYOR BELL: Well, let me ask you this. If 11 this isn't going to be heard by the judge till 12 March 5th, again, tell me the advantages of voting on this tonight. 13 14 MR. STEWART: Well, we -- in order to have it 15 heard on the 5th, we have to have the judge do a 16 preliminary approval of the settlement. And we have 17 to send notices -- these notices to the people far 18 enough in advance so that they -- they have -there's a -- there's one other thing they have to do 19 20 on these -- for these hearings. Any -- people in the 21 class can go, but if they want to talk, they have to 22 file an objection five days prior to it, the way this 23 is working. 24 So in order to get it to the judge so we can start that process and give the citizens as much 25

	Page 42
1	notice as possible so they can file it, that's why we
2	believe it's important that we approve today.
3	COMMISSIONER KELLY: Who came up with the
4	530,000, that figure?
5	MR. STEWART: It went back and forth in terms
6	of negotiating. It was, like, up at 600,000 for
7	them. And we had started at, like, 400 or something,
8	4 420. And it just it was kind of back and
9	forth negotiations.
10	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: Can I ask you a quick
11	question?
12	MR. STEWART: Yeah.
13	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: And I'm going to be a
14	pain.
15	In 2009, if you would have been here and we
16	they would have asked you, should we do this, would
17	you have said it's unconstitutional back then and
18	said not to do it?
19	MR. STEWART: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER KELLY: This is no case like
21	this has ever been
22	COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: You said that too,
23	Scott; correct?
24	MR. GERKEN: (Nods head.)
25	COMMISSIONER KELLY: fought before; correct?

1

Page 43 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: So I think we really 1 need to start paying more attention to what we're 2 3 doing --4 MR. STEWART: The -- there is a --5 COMMISSIONER CHESHIRE: -- so these things 6 don't happen. MR. STEWART: There is a -- there is a similar 7 8 fee that was in the City of Wildwood. MAYOR BELL: And they're being sued right now. 9 MR. STEWART: They are being sued. 10 I'm actually -- they called me about it and -- and -- but 11 12 they -- they repealed theirs, I believe, two years 13 ago. 14 And I've also gotten a call from the City of Ocala that they have been contacted by Mr. Schroth 15 concerning their fire fee. But their fee is 16 different. That's a different fee. Theirs is an 17 18 assessment, not a voluntary fee. COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Tavares has got an 19 20 assessment on the fire utility bill. 21 MR. STEWART: Well, I need to look -- yeah. 22 And -- and assessments are valid fees. Those are 23 valid. That's -- that is something that you might 24 want to think about down the road a little bit. 25 MR. GERKEN: That's for fire.

Page 44 That's for fire, not for police. 1 MR. STEWART: And -- but that's kind of a little bit outside of 2 3 what we should be going too much into at an executive 4 session. 5 MAYOR BELL: All right. Any other questions? 6 COMMISSIONER KELLY: If a bunch of people showed up at the hearing and ahead of time they all 7 filed their objection thing, could the judge be 8 9 convinced to throw it out at that point? Or would he 10 still have to go through and make a decision on it? MR. STEWART: Well, he would def -- he would --11 12 only things he would be considering is the settlement and the amounts of fees. And I'm assuming that most 13 14 of the people who would come to the hearing would not 15 object to the settlement; they would object to the 16 fees and costs, you know. 17 But the judge has the ability to do both. 18 COMMISSIONER GUNTER: Ain't nobody going to 19 show up. 20 MR. STEWART: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. 21 MAYOR BELL: All right. So what -- what we 22 need tonight then is to go ahead and approve this 23 settlement; is that right? 24 MR. GERKEN: Yeah. You'd have to do that in the regular session, though. That would be ... 25

Page 45 1 MR. STEWART: Yes. 2 MAYOR BELL: Okay. 3 MR. GERKEN: Once you reopen that. COMMISSIONER KELLY: Do you have to have 100 4 5 percent agreement for that? Don't look at me like that. 6 7 MR. GERKEN: You do not. But please don't do 8 like we did last time on one of these, Ms. Kelly. 9 Just a majority vote is all that's required for the 10 approval of the settlement agreement. 11 MR. STEWART: Do you want me to... 12 MR. GERKEN: Yes. If you would let the others know. 13 14 (The meeting was reopened to the public.) 15 MAYOR BELL: With that, we have adjourned the 16 attorney-client session, and we will reopen the 17 special city commission meeting. And I guess we've 18 terminated the session. 19 THE REPORTER: Mr. Gerken, just for the 20 record... MR. GERKEN: Yes. That's the termination of 21 22 the special session. Yes. 23 THE REPORTER: And you need this delivered 24 sealed? Ordered and delivered sealed to your office? 25 Please. If you could do MR. GERKEN: Yes.

> First Choice Reporting & Video Services Worldwide Scheduling

Page 46 that in typical -- and just have it sealed and delivered to me, and I'll get it to our clerk. Thank you. (The proceedings were concluded at 7:25 p.m.)

Page 47
CERTIFICATE
STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF LAKE
I, BETH J. BREESE, Florida Professional Reporter and
Notary Public, hereby certify that I was authorized to and did
stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the
transcript, Pages 3 through 46, is a true and complete record
of my stenographic notes.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee,
attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a
relative or employee of any of the parties' attorneys or
counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially
interested in the action.
The certification does not apply to any reproduction
of the same by any means unless under the direct control
and/or direction of the Reporter.
Dated this 29th day of January, 2014.
Besty Alapon
Beth J. Breese Florida Professional Reporter