

Page 1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FLORIDA FIFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, IN AND FOR LAKE COUNTY

CASE NO.: 2013-CA-001361

CITY OF FRUITLAND PARK,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ROBERT A. PARRISH,

Defendant.

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF LAKE)

FRUITLAND PARK CITY COUNCIL MEETING,

ATTORNEY-CLIENT SESSION, at City Hall, 506 West Berckman

Street, Fruitland Park, Florida, on Thursday, March 13,

2014, at 6:00 o'clock, p.m., before Susan M. Dow,

Registered Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime

Reporter and a Notary Public in and for the State of

Florida at Large.

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	SCOTT GERKEN, Esquire, of the law firm of
3	Stone and Gerken, 4850 North Highway 19-A, Mt. Dora,
4	Florida 32757, appearing for plaintiff.
5	CHRISTOPHER BELL, Mayor
6	SHARON KELLY, Councilwoman
7	JOHN GUNTER, JR., Councilman
8	CHRISTOPHER CHESHIRE, Councilman
9	GARY LaVENIA, City Manager
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	MAYOR BELL: All right. We'll call to order
3	this Fruitland Park City Commission attorney-client
4	session. The date is March 13th, 2014. The time
5	is 6:06 p.m.
6	The session okay. I just took care of all
7	that.
8	Role call. The names of the people that are
9	in attendance are Mayor Chris Bell, Commissioner
10	Chris Cheshire, Commissioner John Gunter.
11	Commissioner Sharon Kelly is not here at this time.
12	City Attorney Scott Gerken. Attorney Mike Bowling
13	is not here. City manager Gary LaVenia. A
14	certified court reporter, Susan Dow, that's from
15	First Choice Reporting. Welcome. And she will
16	record the entire session, the times of
17	commencement and the termination of the session,
18	all discussions and proceedings that occur and the
19	names of all persons present at any time during the
20	session and the names of all persons speaking.
21	No portion of the session shall be off the
22	record. The court reporter notes shall be fully
23	transcribed and filed with the City of Fruitland
24	Park's city clerk within a reasonable time after
25	the meeting.

	Page 4
1	Only the persons listed above are allowed to
2	be present, and the remaining individuals not
3	called are asked to be excused from the meeting
4	room at this time.
5	MR. GERKEN: One additional thing. I think
6	the estimated length of the meeting, I'd say,
7	30 minutes or less.
8	MAYOR BELL: All right. Thank you, sir.
9	And with that, I'll turn this over to our city
10	attorney.
11	MR. GERKEN: Thank you.
12	As a reminder of some of the ground rules, as
13	we've had them in the past, the discussions
14	tonight, we're here for the Parrish litigation
15	against the city and discussions are limited to
16	that matter.
17	Secondly, there will be, as the mayor just
18	said, a full transcript of these proceedings made
19	available at the conclusion of the lawsuit. I
20	remind you of that, because every word that is said
21	will be available in the transcript, and they often
22	are read.
23	So even though it is a confidential meeting,
24	it will eventually be made public.
25	And second, of course, we don't take any

	Page 5
1	action during this part of the meeting. We would
2	have to take a vote at our regular commission
3	meeting, I assume. I think it's under the agenda
4	in my report for later tonight.
5	Frankly, after this meeting was scheduled, I
6	think I've now had a chance to speak with every one
7	of you at one time or another, since the mediation,
8	to discuss the case and answer your questions, so I
9	won't belabor this one any more.
10	You have a copy of the Settlement Agreement
11	behind you. Although it takes lawyers nine pages
12	to say something fairly simple, we are basically
13	paying Mr. Parrish the payment total payment to
14	him would be \$240,000.
15	Of particular significance to the city is that
16	\$225,000 of that has been authorized and is coming
17	from our liability carrier. The only amount that
18	is coming from the city would be \$15,000, and that
19	payment would be in our next fiscal year, November
20	of next year.
21	Upon payment of the \$225,000, they will
22	dismiss the case with prejudice, even though we
23	haven't paid, and just take it on our word, which I
24	know we will, that we will pay that come next
25	November.

Page 6 1 There is a mutual complete General Release of 2 any claims whatsoever that Officer Parrish may have against the city and vice-versa. Everybody walks 3 away. It's a typical settlement in that regard. 4 This settlement is inclusive of any attorney's 5 fees and costs. Whatever attorney's fees and costs 6 that Mr. Parrish, Officer Parrish, has, that's 7 between him and his attorney. Sometimes people 8 9 have asked: What are the attorney's fees? That's inclusive of whatever we're paying, and that's up 10 11 to them. That's not something that we're involved 12 with. 13 The only other thing of significance is that the -- there was an agreement that Officer Parrish 14 15 would be allowed to, quote, retire in good standing as a major after 28 years of service, and that he 16 17 would be, basically, noted as retired for officer 18 purposes. That was a negotiated settlement. 19 As you can imagine, Mr. Parrish, of course, 20 wanted a complete apology from the city, and the 21 city, of course, denied any liability whatsoever. 22 We do get, and it is anticipated, that the 23 city would get calls from people if Officer Parrish 24 were to try to get a job elsewhere, so it's good to 25 discuss what would be said.

	Page 7
1	Obviously his personnel file, this lawsuit,
2	all of these things are records that future
3	employers can see, but the city will basically not
4	make any further comment except that simple note,
5	that he retired in good standing after 28 years of
6	service.
7	I can belabor it, as I said, but I think
8	everything else is very standard. All of this, of
9	course, was contingent on your approval, which
10	would be considered at the regular commission
11	meeting.
12	I would recommend approval. I know you never
13	like to pay monies, but particularly since the
14	carrier has authorized all but \$15,000 of this, I
15	would find it to be in the best interest of the
16	city to accept this settlement and move on.
17	MAYOR BELL: Scott, can I divulge what
18	happened on the day that I was up there?
19	MR. GERKEN: Yes, that's fine.
20	MAYOR BELL: Okay.
21	MR. GERKEN: If you wish to. You don't have
22	to.
23	MAYOR BELL: No, I'd like to just tell about
24	what happened.
25	MR. GERKEN: Of course, that all becomes

	Page 8
1	public record.
2	MAYOR BELL: Yes, I know that.
3	When I went I was called in to do a
4	deposition, before the deposition got started,
5	there was continuing negotiations going on at that
6	time, which I wasn't aware that there was going to
7	be negotiations, so this kind of caught me by
8	surprise, but they came in. They said that the
9	insurance company had agreed to the \$225,000, but
10	that was their cap. They would not move from that
11	point.
12	The mediator turned to me and wanted to know
13	if at that point, it was \$250,000 that they were
14	still looking for, so they were looking for an
15	additional \$25,000. They wanted to know if the
16	city would consider \$25,000.
17	Just to make a long story short, I said I felt
18	fairly certain that the city would not. I think
19	they came back and wanted to know about twenty.
20	And, again, no. The final figure came out an
21	additional fifteen. If we did not agree if I
22	did not agree to support the fifteen, I believe, at
23	that point, the negotiations would have stopped,
24	and it would have continued on the route that it
25	was headed, as far as going to court.

	Page 9
1	I agreed, at that point, that I would
2	although it was well understood that I was just one
3	vote, but I would vote to support the \$15,000, and
4	the one statement, I would not support the apology,
5	at that point, and they took that back, and he came
6	back and said that Parrish or whoever was there did
7	agree to the \$15,000.
8	So that's kind of where this a little
9	background of where it came from.
10	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: Who did the I
11	understand the attorney for the insurance company
12	has come up with the dollar amount, the figure that
13	they were going to max out at or whatever.
14	MR. GERKEN: Yes.
15	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: But the other retirement
16	in good standing and the 28 years, is that their
17	attorney that came up with that?
18	MR. GERKEN: No, I would probably attribute
19	most of that to the mediator's assistance. As I
20	said, that took a little while. That simple
21	language took a little while to get to, and as I
22	said earlier, we started with the: We admit no
23	liability whatsoever. We're not going to
24	acknowledge that. They wanted one.
25	And the fact is that he was here for 28 years.

	Page 10
1	His record is his periodic reviews had found him
2	in good standing, as personnel policy would say.
3	The retirement issue was means no
4	difference from the city's perspective. And so, I
5	mean, that's how that got whittled down to that.
6	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: And those I don't have a
7	problem with. The problem is the major. I mean,
8	even in his own deposition here, he more or less
9	said he thought it was a bribe.
10	MR. GERKEN: I understand.
11	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: And then he wants to
12	retire as a major. I have no problem if he wants
13	to retire as a captain.
14	MR. GERKEN: I understand. We pointed out, of
15	course, that we don't have a major in our police
16	department, and that, apparently, just fell upon
17	deaf ears, that we don't have if one was to find
18	out what a major is, we don't have major. That was
19	a title that was created and had never been
20	recognized in our personnel policy, but having said
21	that, I don't see any downside to the city of
22	agreeing to that term.
23	MAYOR BELL: I kind of find it a little bit
24	ironic that this all started with Mark Isom going
25	after a title that was a make believe, basically,

	Page 11
1	and now the person that turned in Mark wants to
2	retire with a title that's made up, make believe.
3	COUNCILMAN CHESHIRE: Let him call himself
4	whatever he wants to.
5	MR. GERKEN: And that was kind of the thought,
6	exactly.
7	COUNCILMAN CHESHIRE: That little thing, if he
8	can go find another job and support himself, more
9	power to him. If he wants to become a police
10	officer somewhere else, and that helps him, so be
11	it.
12	MAYOR BELL: Maybe that might include moving.
13	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: Actually, I think that's
14	been discussed before.
15	MR. GERKEN: Actually it was, but don't add
16	any kind of conditions like that.
17	MAYOR BELL: We can't make that as part of the
18	condition.
19	If we don't accept this, what happens?
20	MR. GERKEN: If we don't accept this, the
21	litigation would carry on, basically take off where
22	it was left off, and they move forward with
23	discovery and, you know, depositions, further
24	discovery, hearings and eventually a trial.
25	MAYOR BELL: Now, I know we talked about it a

	Page 12
1	little bit there in the deposition about the hammer
2	clause, but the \$15,000 on there, is the hammer
3	clause in place?
4	MR. GERKEN: It will be, and I know that
5	sounds like a lawyer answer. At this point it
6	would not be, but I think what would happen is the
7	insurance company would come back and style the
8	offer in such a way that it would be.
9	So I would treat it as though the hammer
10	clause were there. In other words, if we don't
11	settle at this point, and they ultimately would be
12	able to obtain a judgment greater than the
13	\$225,000, the city could be libel for the excess
14	coverage.
15	MAYOR BELL: Even though we'd have to pay the
16	extra fifteen at this point?
17	MR. GERKEN: It complicates it a little bit,
18	but yes, I think, essentially, that would be the
19	case.
20	MAYOR BELL: Like I said, before I left there,
21	just being able to bring this offer back to the
22	table, I did agree that I would support it, but
23	that left four other votes, and I had no clue.
24	MR. GERKEN: And obviously that was a
25	nonbinding thing. It was just essentially your
ı	

	Page 13
1	word.
2	MAYOR BELL: It was my word.
3	MR. GERKEN: Exactly, that's what I said.
4	That's binding, correct.
5	COUNCILMAN CHESHIRE: When I sat down and
6	talked to Gary, I said: Let's get these things
7	over with.
8	My whole issue with something like this, and
9	maybe you could tell us, Scott, was there anything
10	else we could have done to prevent this? Was there
11	anything that we didn't do in his personnel file?
12	Is there things we didn't write in his personnel
13	file: Is there things that would have made this
14	easier for us to say: You quit, this is what
15	happened, and you can't sue us?
16	MR. GERKEN: Some things we could do, and you
17	try to learn from these. I think we could have
18	done a better job, and this is so common, I see
19	this so often, within the personnel file of
20	documenting some of the errors that he may have
21	made.
22	So often is the case, personnel files, people
23	try to be nice, and the reviews are glowing, even
24	though there may be issues or problems.
25	This was a situation like that. Even though

	Page 14
1	we were aware of events that happened,
2	corresponding with times when there were things
3	that could have been the basis for a bad review in
4	his file, there was a review that said he was doing
5	just fine, but these things happen quite often.
6	On the other hand, many of the things that
7	were attributed, I don't think there's a whole lot
8	we could have done with the way the FDLE
9	investigation went and, in particular, many of the
10	allegations found their way back to Mr. Bowers,
11	things that he supposedly said and did. Ralph was
12	quite outspoken, but also the fact that he's not
13	here, it became very difficult for the city to
14	defend any of those things.
15	The other thing, honestly, that put the city
16	in a difficult spot, is that I think, in many ways,
17	this created divisions within the police
18	department. There are officers among our
19	department who disagreed on various claims, and we
20	took that into consideration as far as the healing
21	for the city, that to move the city on, it would be
22	best to let bygones be bygones, if you will, and
23	put this behind us.
24	I mean, all of these officers, sworn officers,
25	some no longer with the force, some with the force,

	Da 1E
1	Page 15
1	all of those people would be witnesses at a
2	potential trial, and I don't see anything good that
3	comes for the city or for those officers and their
4	families or any of these people if we don't push
5	on.
6	COUNCILMAN CHESHIRE: Do we need to make a
7	motion?
8	MR. GERKEN: No, this is just to answer
9	questions.
10	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: If this was in the private
11	sector, though, he resigned, he quit, that's it,
12	you're out?
13	MR. GERKEN: These suits happen all the time
14	in the private sector, too. I didn't resign. I
15	was forced out. This was this also has the
16	different twist of the whistle blower cause of
17	action that he claimed.
18	Now, we have legal defenses, and frankly, that
19	would have been part of the steps, is there would
20	have been some hearings. We were hopeful that the
21	judge would issue a summary judgment or dismiss
22	certain aspects of their complaints largely on
23	legal defenses.
24	I mean, for instance, he's alleging that the
25	city retaliated based on actions that happened, in

	Page 16
1	some cases, several years before he finally left
2	the force, and there's time parameters on those
3	things. But chances are typical, particularly in
4	circuit court, those matters usually are allowed to
5	go on to trial, and I think at trial, that wouldn't
6	have been in the best interest of the city.
7	MAYOR BELL: I know our chief was consulting
8	very regular with the HR attorney that we have.
9	Did she do the best job that she could?
10	MR. GERKEN: I don't honestly know. I haven't
11	really been privy to what Dottie Green and the
12	chief talked about or specifically did in this
13	case. That would be something as part of following
14	up that you certainly could talk to the chief
15	about.
16	MAYOR BELL: I'd like a report, probably, back
17	on that, too.
18	MR. GERKEN: I do know that typically the
19	chief doesn't issue any kind of reprimands or takes
20	any action without discussing it, but I don't know.
21	As far as just general reviews and things like
22	that, that would be the case.
23	MR. GERKEN: Please note for the record that
24	Ms. Kelly is here.
25	COUNCILWOMAN KELLY: I'm sorry I'm late.

	Page 17
1	MR. GERKEN: Welcome.
2	MAYOR BELL: All right. Well, do we need to
3	discuss whether we want to even take action at the
4	next meeting, at our regular meeting?
5	MR. GERKEN: I guess we could. It's up to you
6	whether or not you wish to have it on the agenda.
7	I think well, it's already on the agenda. I
8	don't think you need to discuss, at this point,
9	whether you need to take action. I think when it
10	comes up on the agenda, at that point, if you don't
11	wish to consider it, you could make a motion to
12	that effect or move to approve, and then vote
13	accordingly.
14	As you said, if you don't approve this
15	settlement, the litigation will go on.
16	MAYOR BELL: All right. Ms. Kelly, I'm not
17	going to have Scott go back over everything, but I
18	know you've had a chance to talk to him about it.
19	I don't know if there's any direct questions you
20	have tonight.
21	COUNCILWOMAN KELLY: Has it changed any?
22	MR. GERKEN: No, not at all.
23	COUNCILWOMAN KELLY: Okay. Then I'm fine with
24	it.
25	MR. GERKEN: Okay.

	Page 18
1	MAYOR BELL: Anything else?
2	MR. GERKEN: And we can discuss this you
3	can discuss this in the regular meeting. That is
4	allowed. Obviously we have these meetings so if
5	you have any burdens on your chest or questions to
6	ask, this is the time to do it.
7	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: Getting back to since
8	nobody interjected anything except me, I'm going to
9	fill Sharon in on my opinion on the retirement as a
10	major.
11	I thought, personally and I'll go along
12	with the majority. I want it to be a unanimous
13	vote on anything that we come into session on, but
14	even in his deposition here, he's stating that he
15	thinks that the promotion to major was a payoff
16	and, you know, if he's such an honorable fella, why
17	would he want to retire as a major?
18	MAYOR BELL: Well, and my statement was it's
19	kind of ironic that this whole thing started with
20	Mark getting the bogus degrees, and here are
21	he's wanting a bogus major.
22	COUNCILWOMAN KELLY: What difference does it
23	make what he retires as? I mean, he's
24	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: My question back, again,
25	is why does he want to retire as a major, if he

	Page 19
1	thinks right here that Mr. Parrish has testified
2	that he believes this promotion was given to him by
3	Chief Isom in hopes to enthuse Mr. Parrish to keep
4	his mouth shut regarding the false degree.
5	And it goes on. The attorney says he
6	questions why is he angry about being demoted to a
7	captain. But like I said, if this will close the
8	case up, I'll go along with the majority, so it
9	will be a unanimous vote.
10	MR. GERKEN: Anything further?
11	MAYOR BELL: All right. We'll adjourn.
12	COUNCILMAN GUNTER: Do we need to make a
13	motion to accept this?
14	MR. GERKEN: No, that will be done during the
15	regular commission meeting later on.
16	MAYOR BELL: All right. So we'll stand in
17	adjournment.
18	MR. GERKEN: We will need a sealed copy of the
19	transcript.
20	(Whereupon, the attorney-client session was
21	concluded at 6:30 o'clock, p.m.)
22	
23	Za, v v
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF MARION)
5	
6	I, Susan M. Dow, Registered Diplomate
7	Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, certify that I
8	was authorized to and did stenographically report the
9	foregoing proceedings, and that the transcript is a true
10	record.
11	Dated this 16th day of March, 2014.
12	
13	
14	Susan M. Dow, RDR, RMR, CRR
15	Susan H. Dow, KDK, KHK, CKK
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	